# LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Model

# Biophysical Setting: 5713530

# Southern Appalachian Low-Elevation Pine Forest

This BPS is lumped with:

This BPS is split into multiple models:

General Information								
Contribute	ors (also see	the Comm	ents field) Da	te 7/	26/2007			
Modeler 1 Malcolm Hodges mhod			mhodges@tnc.org		Reviewer	No External Review Received		
Modeler 3 Louis Hyman lo			dchan@gfc.state.ga.us Reviewer louis.hyman@forestry.al abama.gov					
					FRCC			
Vegetatio	<u>n Type</u>			Ma	<u>p Zones</u>	Model Zones		
Forest and <b>Dominant</b>	d Woodland	General	Model Sources		54 59	□Alaska □California	□ N-Cent.Rockies □ Pacific Northwest	
PIVI2 PIEC2 QUFA QUPR	QUCO CAGL VAPA GABA	✔Lit □Lo	erature cal Data pert Estimate			☐ Great Basin ☐ Great Lakes ☐ Northeast ☐ Northern Plains	<ul> <li>☐ South Central</li> <li>☐ Southeast</li> <li>☑ S. Appalachians</li> <li>☐ Southwest</li> <li>☐ Hawaii</li> </ul>	

# **Geographic Range**

This system is found primarily in the Appalachian regions of Kentucky and the Southern Blue Ridge in northern Georgia, western North Carolina, southeastern Tennessee, the Cumberlands of Alabama, parts of the Interior Low Plateau (e.g., the Knobs Region of Kentucky), and southwestern Virginia (NatureServe 2007).

# **Biophysical Site Description**

Occurs on a variety of topographic and landscape positions, including ridgetops, upper and midslopes, in mountain valleys and lower ranges. Bedrock may be a variety of types, but system is limited to acidic substrates (NatureServe 2007). This system consists of shortleaf pine- and Virginia pine-dominated forests in the lower elevation southern Appalachians and adjacent Piedmont and Cumberland Plateau, extending into the Interior Low Plateau of Kentucky and Tennessee. Fire is important in maintaining Shortleaf pine dominated types. The natural habitat of Virginia pine is xeric fire refuges such as exposed rock outcrops with patchy and light fuels. It is thus somewhat comparable to Table Mountain pine, but at lower elevations. Under natural conditions, it would occupy minor land area as a type but would have scattered individuals surviving in mixture with shortleaf pine.

This system is common to the Southern Appalachians but less so in the adjacent Piedmont, typically occupying xeric to dry sites at elevations generally below 700 m on ridge tops, western, south and southwestern aspects. Occasionally Virginia pine is also found dry-mesic sites as a pioneering vegetation.

### **Vegetation Description**

Vegetation consists of closed to open forest dominated by shortleaf pine (Pinus echinata) or Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana). Pitch pine (Pinus rigida) may sometimes be present. Hardwoods may be abundant at times, especially dry-site oaks such as Quercus falcata, Quercus prinus, and Quercus coccinea. Other overstory components vary with moisture regimes but could include several other pine species, red and

white oaks, other hardwoods and/or eastern red cedar. Many stands are strongly even-aged and density-dependent based on age.

The hardwood component may be partly the result of fire suppression. The shrub layer may be welldeveloped, with Vaccinium pallidum, Gaylussacia baccata, or other acid-tolerant species most characteristic. Herbs are usually sparse but may include Pityopsis graminifolia and Tephrosia virginiana. Herbs probably were more abundant and shrubs less dense when fires occurred more frequently, and the communities of this system may have been grassy under more natural conditions, with Schizachyrium scoparium being a typical component, possibly with Danthonia sp (NatureServe 2007).

Virginia pine is an aggressive invader following disturbance and might be considered uncharacteristic vegetation on some sites. The frequency of its occurrence in the Southern Appalachian forested landscapes today is undoubtedly greater than in pre-settlement times. Its niche appears best fitted to xeric sites on thin soils (e.g. "necklace" stands adjacent to bluff lines in the Cumberlands and Appalachians). Virginia pine is increasingly at risk of mortality to disturbance agents as it matures. Older trees are particularly susceptible to pine beetle attacks due to slow radial growth and relatively high growing densities on often poor sites. Older trees are also more prone to windthrow. Few stands reach 100 years of age with most stands "breaking up" at 50 to 75 years of age.

# **Disturbance Description**

Fire is an important influence and may be the only factor determining the occurrence of this system which would be a hardwood forest without fire. Fires were probably frequent and of low-intensity, or a mix of low- and high-intensity. Fire is important in determining the dominance of the two pines and the presence of the hardwood components and the overall vegetation structure.

Shortleaf pine (P. echinata) when mature is resistant to fire, while Virginia pine (P. virginiana) is less adapted to fire with thinner bark and higher mortality rates (particularly in young stands), and P. virginiana seedlings are easily killed by fire and will not resprout. It can however, survive repeated low intensity fires. The natural occurrence of P. virginiana on infertile, thin soils allows the community to persist in a specialized edaphic niche. It is a prolific seeder and is able to pioneer on these and other disturbed sites. P. virginiana often develops 'red heart' rot, caused by Fomes pini, at ages beyond about 60 years. Virginia pine is very shallow rooted and susceptible to windthrow. Heavy snow and ice can create significant stand openings. Initial openings give rise to further windthrow and even larger openings as trees fall into gaps.

Under present conditions, the Southern pine beetle is an important factor in this system. Beetle outbreaks can kill pines without creating conditions for pines to regenerate.

In the absence of fire to maintain the ecosystem, natural Virginia pine stands could succeed to varying vegetation cover: (a) xeric oaks such as scarlet oak, chestnut oak, blackjack oak, and post oak; (b) mountain laurel, sourwood, red maple, and huckleberry; and (c) eastern white pine overstory.

Effects of logging and past clearing as well as fire suppression make understanding of this system's natural character and dynamics difficult. Some pine-dominated areas appear to be successional stands established in former hardwood forests after logging or cultivation, and would not be expected to have the same dynamics or ecosystem characteristics as natural pine forests maintained by fire. In natural pine forests, logging may allow pines to regenerate or may change the composition to weedy hardwoods. It might alter canopy composition as well as structure (NatureServe 2007).

# Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Examples with significant hardwood component may be classified as Southern Piedmont Dry Oak-(Pine) Forest (CES202.339 -- BpS1368). NatureServe (2007) also notes that this system probably usually bordered and intermixed with Southern Appalachian Oak Forest (CES202.886-- BpS1315) and Southern and Central Appalachian Cove Forest (CES202.373 -- BpS1318) may be present in more mesic areas. It may also intergrade into Southern Appalachian Montane Pine Forest and Woodland (CES202.331 -- BpS1352) at high elevations.

The relationship between this system and Southern Appalachian Montane Pine Forest and Woodland (CES202.331 -- BpS1352) may need further clarification. Southern Appalachian Low-Elevation Pine Forest (CES202.332) is distinguished by its occurrence as large patches on lower terrain (generally below 700 m [2300 feet]) and less extreme topography. The vegetation of the two systems may overlap but pitch pine and Table Mountain pine are more typical of the former, while shortleaf pine and Virginia pine are more typical of the latter (NatureServe 2007).

This system (CES202.332) at its western extent in central Tennessee would be distinguished from equivalent Ozarkian systems (e.g.,Ozark-Ouachita Shortleaf Pine-Oak Forest and Woodland (CES202.313 -- BpS1367)) by the presence of Pinus virginiana and Quercus prinus, which do not cross the Mississippi River (NatureServe 2007).

#### **Uncharacteristic Native Conditions**

Absence of fire without pine reproduction may lead to succession to hardwood forest types.

#### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

Spatial scale and pattern are generally characterized as large patch. Most remnants in relatively natural condition are probably small patches. In its most natural setting, topography generally limits the patch size of the ecological community.

# **Issues/Problems**

#### Comments

This BpS is really a combination of Rapid Assessment model descriptions for R8PIVlap - Appalachian Virginia Pine and R8PIECap - Appalachian Shortleaf Pine. The RA modeler for each was Roger D. Fryar and each were reviewed by Ron Stephens rstephens@fs.fed.us.

34 Vegetation Classes Indicator Species\* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 32 % **Canopy Position** Min Max Early Development 1 All PIVI2 Upper Cover 1% 90 % Structures PIEC2 Upper Height Tree 0m Tree 5m VACCI Upper Tree Size Class Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform does not differ from dominant Herbaceous lifeform. Shrub

✓ Tree

#### **Description**

Class age 0-10 yrs. Dense seedling and sapling stands with variable herbaceous or woody understory vegetation. Stands originating from Virginia pine forests may have dense pine seedlings with very little understory. Shortleaf-originating stands may include hickory, yellow poplar, dogwood, blueberry, blackberry, huckleberry, grasses and forbs.

Fuel Model 7

Class B	2 %	Indicator Canopy P	Species* and osition	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid Davalar	mont 1	PIVI2	Upper Upper		Min		Max	
Mid Develo	pment i			Cover	51 %		100 %	
Closed		VACCI		Height	Tree 5.1m		Tree 10m	
				Tree Size Class Pole 5-9" DI		Pole 5-9" DBH	<u> </u>	

Tuesday, May 24, 2011

Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer l
Herbaceous	lifeform.
Shrub	
✓ Tree	

Upper layer lifeform does not differ from dominant lifeform.

# Fuel Model 8

**Description** 

Class age 11-30 yrs. Poletimber and small sawtimber stands dominated by Virginia pines with minor components of shortleaf pine and other woody and herbaceous vegetation. Stands are often dense.

Class C	32 %	Indicator Canopy F	Species* and Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid Daval	nmant 1 Onan	PIEC2	Upper	Min			Max	
Mid Develo	opment 1 Open	PIVI2	Upper C Low-Mid Low-Mid	Cover	31 %		50 %	
				Height	Tree 5.1m		Tree 25m	
				Tree Size Class Pole 5-9" DBH				
		Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree		Upper layer lifeform does not differ from dominant lifeform.				
Descrip	<u>tion</u>	110		Fuel Mod	<u>del</u> 8			
	age 11-30 yrs. Canopy t rstory. Oak and hickory r		•	-		• 1	0 .	

pockets protected from fire.

development possible with dogwood, oak and hickories.

Class D 34 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Lete Development 1 Onen			Min	Max		
Late Development 1 Open	PIEC2 Upper QUERC Mid-Upper	Cover	31 %	70 %		
	CARY Mid-Upper	Height	Tree 25.1m	Tree 50m		
	COFL2 Middle	Tree Size Class	Class Medium 9-21"DBH			
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform does not differ from dominant lifeform.				
Description		Fuel Model 8				
	v is dominated by shortleaf p ntains varying amounts of pi					

# Disturbances

Fire Regime Group**: 1	Fire Intervals	Avg Fl	Min Fl	Max Fl	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
	Replacement	25.282	25	125	0.03955	14	
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u>	Mixed	144.91	10	30	0.00690	2	
Avg 100	Surface	4.2025	5	15	0.23795	84	
Min 10	All Fires	4			0.28441		
Max 1000	Fire Intervals	(FI):					
Sources of Fire Regime Data ✓ Literature □ Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate	fire combined (	All Fires). w the relating nterval in y	Average l ve range c vears and	FI is central of fire intervation in the second s	tendency moc als, if known. I eference condi		
Additional Disturbances Modeled         ✓ Insects/Disease       □Native Grazing       Other (optional 1)         ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress       □Competition       □Other (optional 2)							

# References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Little, E.L., Jr., 1971, Atlas of United States trees, volume 1, conifers and important hardwoods: U.S. Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Publication 1146, 9 p., 200 maps. [Online]. Available: http://esp.cr.usgs.gov/data/atlas/little.

NatureServe. 2007. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA, U.S.A. Data current as of 15 April 2007.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov. \*\*Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.